

<b>Family Name</b>	Stephens
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1286019
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Stephens
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1286019
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>over the past 20 years vast amounts of green has been built on for housing in the Mosley Common ,Ellenbrook, and sirounding areas in South Walkden. no much consideration has been tarken to provide services, new schools,doctors surgeries, Dentists, shops etc.</p> <p>The trafford has increased tremendously and is usually gridlocked each morning and evening on most main roadshow in the area through Tyldsley, Mort Lane, Mosley Common, Hilton lane,N ewarth road all going towards and back to the East Lancia Road (.A580) and down to worsley rounderbout M60 .</p> <p>The proposals fo 1100 additional houses will increase the traffic and cause even more congestion in the area.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	modificatio reject all proposals reasons as given above